REMARKS

The above amendments to the above-captioned application along with the following remarks are being submitted in response to the Notice of Allowance dated August 12, 2005 and the concurrently submitted IDS references. In view of the above amendments and the following remarks, the Examiner is respectfully requested to give due reconsideration to this application, to indicate the allowability of the claims, and to pass this case to issue.

Status of the Claims

Claims 4, 6-8, 10-19, 22-26, 28-32, 34-38, 40-44, 46-50, 52-55, 58-62, 64-68, 70-74, 76-82 are under consideration in this application. Claims 3, 5, 21, 27, 33, 39, 45, 51, 56-57, 63, 69 and 75 are being cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer. New claims 80-82 are being added to recite other embodiments described in the specification. Applicants hereby submit that no new matter is being introduced into the application through the submission of this response.

Conclusion

In view of all the above, clear and distinct differences as discussed exist between the present invention as now claimed and the prior art reference upon which the rejections in the Office Action rely, Applicants respectfully contend that the prior art references cannot anticipate the present invention or render the present invention obvious. Rather, the present invention as a whole is distinguishable, and thereby allowable over the prior art.

Favorable reconsideration of this application is respectfully solicited. Should there be any outstanding issues requiring discussion that would further the prosecution and allowance of the above-captioned application, the Examiner is invited to contact the Applicants' undersigned representative at the address and phone number indicated below.

Respectfully submitted,

Stanley P. Fisher

Registration Number 24,344

Juan Carlos A. Marquez

Registration Number 34,072

REED SMITH LLP

3110 Fairview Park Drive, Suite 1400 Falls Church, Virginia 22042

(703) 641-4200

October 17, 2005

SPF/JCM/JT